UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 95-6941

FELIX ORIAKHI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

DOUGLAS BIALESE, Drug Enforcement Agent; ANTHONY CANNAVALE, DEA; ROBERT HARDING, AUSA; U. S. DRUG ENFORCEMENT AGENCY,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 95-8601

FELIX ORIAKHI,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. Frank A. Kaufman, Senior District Judge. (CA-93-3574-K, CA-95-1396-K, CR-90-72-K)

Decided:	May	29,	1996
cuit Judge:	s.		
on.			
		cuit Judges.	J

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURTAM:

Felix Oriakhi appeals the district court's denials of his motions for return of property. We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinions and find no reversible error. As to Appeal No. 95-6941, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Oriakhi v. Bialese, No. CA-93-3574-K (D. Md. June 6, 1995).

As to Appeal No. 95-8601, we affirm substantially on the reasoning of the district court. Oriakhi v. United States, Nos. CR-90-72-K; CA-95-1396-K (D. Md. Dec. 22, 1995). While we find that Oriakhi's claim for return of property is more properly construed as a motion pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 41(e), we affirm the district court's denial of relief on this claim on the grounds of res judicata and/or collateral estoppel. As for Oriakhi's claims regarding double jeopardy and sentencing, we affirm the district court's determination that such claims are more properly brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (1988). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the Court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

<u>AFFIRMED</u>